IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.PADMANABHAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 9TH JULY 2007 / 18TH ASHADHA 1929

MFA.No. 1208 of 2000(A)

OPMV.880/1995 of MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, OTTAPALAM
.....

APPELLANT:

PUMAKUTTY W/O ALAVI,AGED 45 YEARS
VADAKKEDATH VALAPPIL HOUSE,
CHITTAPURAM, PATTITHARA P.O.CHEVAYOOR
THRITHALA VIA, PALAKKAD

BY ADV. SRI.O.P.NANDAKUMAR
SRI.V.A.AJAI KUMAR
SRI.K.P.THANGA KOYATHANGAL

RESPONDENTS:

1.V.P.MOHAMMED BASHEER, S/O V.P.MOIDUTTY
VETTUPARAMBIL HOUSE
THRITHALA POST, PALAKKAD
PIN- 679 534

2. AKBAL ALI, S/O T.K. MOIDUNNI
TACHARAKUNNATH HOUSE
THRITHALA POST, PALAKKAD

3. THE NEW INDIA INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED
KUNNAMKULAM, THRISSUR DISTRICT

BY ADV. SRI.A.C.DEVY

THIS MISC. FIRST APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 09/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.PADMANABHAN NAIR, J

C.M.P. No.6952 of 2000
in
M.F.A. No. 1208 of 2000

Dated this the 9th day of July, 2007

ORDER

In spite of specific direction to take steps, no steps taken to issue notice to R2 . So this application so far as it relates to 2nd respondent is dismissed for non- prosecution The award was passed against respondents 1 and 2. So without 2nd respondent also in the party array, no effective order can be passed. So this petition to condone the delay is only to be dismissed.

In the result the C.M.P is dismissed.

K.PADMANABHAN NAIR,JUDGE

es

K.PADMANABHAN NAIR, J

M.F.A. No. 1208 of 2000

Dated this the 9th day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

Today, I have dismissed the petition filed by the petitioner to condone the delay in filing this M.F.A. So this appeal is barred by limitation

In the result, the appeal is dismissed as barred by limitation

K.PADMANABHAN NAIR,JUDGE

es